arXiv:0902.1088v2 [math.QA] 29 Mar 2010

CLIFFORD THEORY FOR TENSOR CATEGORIES

CÉSAR GALINDO

Abstract. A graded tensor category over a group G will be called a strongly G-graded tensor category if every homogeneous component has at least one multiplicatively invertible object. Our main result is a description of the module categories over a strongly G-graded tensor category as induced from module categories over tensor subcategories associated with the subgroups of G.

1. Introduction

The classical Clifford theory is an important collection of results relating representation of a group to the representation of its normal subgroups. The principal results can be generalized using strongly graded rings, as in [7]. The goal of this paper is to describe a categorical analogue of the Clifford theory for tensor categories.

Throughout this article we work over a field k. By a tensor category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \alpha, 1)$ we understand a k-linear abelian category $\mathcal{C}$, endowed with a k-bilinear exact bifunctor $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$, an object $1 \in \mathcal{C}$, and an associativity constraint $\alpha_{V,W,Z} : (V \otimes W) \otimes Z \to V \otimes (W \otimes Z)$, such that Mac Lane's pentagon axiom holds [5], $V \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes V = V$, $\alpha_{V,1,W} = \mathrm{id}_{V \otimes W}$ for all $V, W \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\dim_k \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(1) = 1$.

An interesting and active problem is the classification of module categories over a tensor category. See [2], [10], [19], [20], [21]. A left module category over a tensor category $\mathcal{C}$, or a left $\mathcal{C}$-module category, is a k-linear abelian category $\mathcal{M}$ equipped with an exact bifuntor $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ and natural isomorphisms $\alpha_{X,Y,M} : (X \otimes Y) \otimes M \to X \otimes (Y \otimes M)$, $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}, M \in \mathcal{M}$, satisfying natural axioms.

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor category, and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-module category. A $\mathcal{C}$-submodule category of $\mathcal{M}$ is a Serre subcategory $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{N}$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-module category with respect to $\otimes$.

A $\mathcal{C}$-module category will be called simple if it does not contain any non-trivial $\mathcal{C}$-submodule category.

Remark 1.2. A rigid tensor category over an algebraically closed field is called finite, if it is equivalent as an abelian category to the category of finite representation of a finite dimensional algebra, see [11]. In this case the right definition of module category is that of an *exact module category*, see *loc. cit.* For exact module categories over finite tensor categories, the notion of simple module category is equivalent to that of indecomposable module category. In particular a semisimple module category over a fusion category is simple if and only if it is indecomposable.

Date: March 31, 2010.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W30, 18D10.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be tensor categories. A $\mathcal{C}$-$\mathcal{D}$-bimodule category is a k-linear abelian category $\mathcal{M}$, endowed with a structure of left $\mathcal{C}$-module category and right $\mathcal{D}$-module category, such that the "actions" commute up to natural isomorphisms in a coherent way. See Section 2 for details on the definitions of $\mathcal{C}$-module category, $\mathcal{C}$-bimodule category, $\mathcal{C}$-module functor, $\mathcal{C}$-linear natural transformation and their composition.

For a right $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{M}$ and a left $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{N}$, the tensor product category of k-linear module categories $\mathcal{M}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{N}$ was defined in [27]; however, typically $\mathcal{M}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{N}$ is not an abelian category. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-$\mathcal{C}$-bimodule category the category $\mathcal{M}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{N}$ has a coherent left $\mathcal{D}$-action.

Let G be a group and $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor category. We shall say that $\mathcal{C}$ is G-graded, if there is a decomposition

$$\mathcal{C} = \oplus_{x\in G}\mathcal{C}_x$$

of $\mathcal{C}$ into a direct sum of full abelian subcategories, such that for all $\sigma, x \in G$, the bifunctor $\otimes$ maps $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \times \mathcal{C}_x$ to $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma x}$. See [12].

Recall that a graded ring $A = \oplus_{\sigma\in G}A_\sigma$ is called strongly graded, if $A_xA_y = A_{xy}$ for all $x, y \in G$. If we denote by $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \cdot \mathcal{C}_\tau \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\sigma\tau}$ the **full k-linear subcategory** of $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma\tau}$ whose objects are direct sums of objects of the form $V_\sigma \otimes W_\tau$, for $V_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma$, $W_\tau \in \mathcal{C}_\tau$, $\sigma, \tau \in G$, the definition of *strongly graded tensor category* is the following:

Definition 1.3. Let $\mathcal{C} = \oplus_{\sigma\in G}\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ be a graded tensor category over a group G. We shall say that $\mathcal{C}$ is *strongly graded* if the inclusion functor $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \cdot \mathcal{C}_\tau \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\sigma\tau}$ is an equivalence of k-linear categories for all $\sigma, \tau \in G$.

Remark 1.4. Note that $\mathcal{C}_\sigma\cdot\mathcal{C}_\tau$ is only the *full k-linear subcategory* of $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma\tau}$, and not the full abelian subcategory generated by $\mathcal{C}_\sigma\cdot\mathcal{C}_\tau$. For example the Tambara-Yamagami categories $TY(A,\chi,\epsilon)$ (see [29]) are $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded fusion categories not strongly graded. In fact, the simple objects of $\mathcal{C}_0$ are invertible and $\mathcal{C}_1$ only have one simple. Then the objects of the category $\mathcal{C}_1 \cdot \mathcal{C}_1$ has the form $(X \otimes X)^{\oplus n}$, and the full k-linear subcategory $\mathcal{C}_1 \cdot \mathcal{C}_1$ is not equivalent to $\mathcal{C}_0$, if $\mathcal{C}_0$ has more than one simple object. Note that the abelian subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_0$ generated by $\mathcal{C}_1 \cdot \mathcal{C}_1$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}_0$.

Also note that for every tensor category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I)$, the k-linear category $\mathcal{C}\cdot\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}$, since $V \cong I \otimes V \in \mathcal{C}\cdot\mathcal{C}$, for every $V \in Obj(\mathcal{C})$.

By Lemma 3.1, a graded tensor category over a group G is a strongly G-graded tensor category, if and only if every homogeneous component has at least one invertible object. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a strongly G-graded tensor category. Given a $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category $\mathcal{M}$, we shall denote by $\Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M})$ the set of equivalences classes of simple $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule categories of $\mathcal{M}$. By Corollary 4.3, the group G acts on $\Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M})$ by

$$G \times \Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M}) \to \Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M}), \quad (g, [X]) \mapsto [\mathcal{C}_g \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} X].$$

Our main result is:

Theorem 1.5 (Clifford Theorem for module categories)**.** *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a strongly G-graded tensor category and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a simple abelian $\mathcal{C}$-module category. Then:*

1. *The action of G on $\Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M})$ is transitive,*
2. *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a simple abelian $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule subcategory of $\mathcal{M}$. Let $H = \mathrm{st}([\mathcal{N}])$ be the stabilizer subgroup of $[\mathcal{N}] \in \Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M})$, and let also*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}} = \sum_{h\in H} \mathcal{C}_h \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}.$$

Then $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}}$ *is a simple* $\mathcal{C}_H$*-module category and* $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}}$ *as* $\mathcal{C}$*-module categories.*

An important family of examples of strongly graded tensor categories are the crossed product tensor categories, see [18], [28]. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor category and let G be a group. We shall denote by $\underline{G}$ the monoidal category, where the objects are the elements of G, arrows are identities and tensor product the product of G.

Let $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})}$ be the monoidal category where objects are tensor auto-equivalences of $\mathcal{C}$, arrows are tensor natural isomorphisms and tensor product the composition of functors. An action of the group G over a monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$, is a monoidal functor $* : \underline{G} \to \underline{\mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})}$.

Given an action $* : \underline{G} \to \underline{\mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})}$ of G on $\mathcal{C}$, the G-crossed product tensor category, denoted by $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$ is defined as follows. As an abelian category $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \mathcal{C}_\sigma$, where $\mathcal{C}_\sigma = \mathcal{C}$ as an abelian category, the tensor product is

$$[X, \sigma] \otimes [Y, \tau] := [X \otimes \sigma_*(Y), \sigma\tau], \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{C}, \quad \sigma, \tau \in G,$$

and the unit object is $[1, e]$. See [28] for the associativity constraint and a proof of the pentagon identity.

The category $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$ is G-graded by

$$\mathcal{C} \rtimes G = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} (\mathcal{C} \rtimes G)_\sigma, \quad \text{where } \ (\mathcal{C} \rtimes G)_\sigma = \mathcal{C}_\sigma,$$

and the objects $[1, \sigma] \in (\mathcal{C} \rtimes G)_\sigma$ are invertible, with inverse $[1, \sigma^{-1}] \in (\mathcal{C} \rtimes G)_{\sigma^{-1}}$.

Another useful construction of a tensor category starting from a G-action over a tensor category C, is the G-equivariantization of $\mathcal{C}$, denoted by $\mathcal{C}^G$. This construction has been used for example in [3], [14], [17], [18], [28].

The category $\mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$-module category with action $[V, \sigma] \otimes W = V \otimes \sigma_*(W)$, see [18], [28]. Moreover, the tensor category of $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$-linear endofunctors of $\mathcal{C}$ denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C} \rtimes G}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$, is monoidally equivalent to the G-equivariantization $\mathcal{C}^G$ of $\mathcal{C}$, see [18]. With help of this equivalence can be describe the module categories over $\mathcal{C}^G$, using the description of the module categories over the strongly G-graded tensor category $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$, see [13] for the fusion category case.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 consists mainly of definitions and properties of module and bimodule categories over tensor categories and the tensor product of module categories, that will be need in the sequel. In Section 3 we introduce module categories graded over a G-set and give a structure theorem for them. In Section 4 the main theorem is proved. In Section 5 we describe the simple module categories over $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$ and the simple module categories over $\mathcal{C}^G$ if G is finite.

2. Preliminaries

A k-linear category or a category additive over k, is a category in which the sets of arrows between two objects are k-vector spaces, the compositions are k-bilinear operations, finite direct sums exist and there is a zero object. A k-linear functor $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ between k-linear categories, is and additive functor k-linear on the spaces of morphisms. The notion of k-bilinear bifunctor $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}' \to \mathcal{D}$ is the obvious.

Definition 2.1. [19, Definition 6.] Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a monoidal category. A left $\mathcal{C}$-module category over $\mathcal{C}$, is a category $\mathcal{M}$ together with a bifuntor $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ and natural isomorphisms

$$m_{X,Y,M} : (X \otimes Y) \otimes M \to X \otimes (Y \otimes M),$$

such that

$$(\alpha_{X,Y,Z} \otimes M) m_{X,Y\otimes Z,M} (X \otimes m_{Y,Z,M}) = m_{X\otimes Y,Z,M} m_{X,Y,Z\otimes M},$$
$$1 \otimes M = M,$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}, M \in \mathcal{M}$.

A module category $\mathcal{M}$ over a tensor categories $\mathcal{C}$ always will be abelian, and the bifunctor $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ biexact. A right module category is defined in a similar way.

Remark 2.2. For a category $\mathcal{M}$, the category of k-linear exact endofunctors $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ is a k-linear abelian strict monoidal category, where the kerner of morphism $\tau : F \to G$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$, is the functor $K : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$, defined by $K(M) = \ker(\tau_M)$, and with the composition of functors as tensor product, and . For a tensor category $\mathcal{C}$, a structure of $\mathcal{C}$-module category $(\mathcal{M}, \otimes, m)$ on $\mathcal{M}$ is the same as an exact monoidal functor $(F, \zeta) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$. The bijection is given by the equation $V \otimes M = F(V)(M)$, identifying

$$(\zeta_{V,W})_M : (F(V) \circ F(W))(M) \to F(V \otimes W)(M)$$

with

$$m^{-1}_{X,Y,M} : V \otimes (W \otimes M) \to (V \otimes W) \otimes M.$$

Example 2.3. Let (A, m, e) be an associative algebra in $\mathcal{C}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_A$ be the category of right A-modules in $\mathcal{C}$. This is an abelian left $\mathcal{C}$-module category with action $V \otimes (M, \eta) = (V \otimes M, (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \eta)\alpha_{V,M,A})$ and associativity constraint $\alpha_{X,Y,M}$, for $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}, M \in \mathcal{C}_A$. See [19, sec. 3.1].

Example 2.4. We shall denote by Vec_f the category of finite dimensional vector spaces over k. This is a semisimple tensor category with only one simple object. For every k-linear abelian category $\mathcal{M}$, there is an unique Vec_f-module category structure with action $k^{\oplus n} \otimes X := X^{\oplus n}$. See [24, Lemma 2.2.2].

Example 2.5. Let H be a Hopf algebra and let $B \subseteq A$ be a left faithfully flat H-Galois extension. Let $\mathcal{M}_B$ and $\mathcal{M}^H$ be the categories of right B-modules and right H-comodules, respectively. Recall that category of right Hopf (H, A)-modules $\mathcal{M}^H_A$ is by definition the category $(\mathcal{M}^H)_A$ of right A-modules over $\mathcal{M}^H$. By Schneider's structure theorem [26], the functor $\mathcal{M}_B \to (\mathcal{M}^H)_A$, $M \mapsto M \otimes_B A$, is a category equivalence with inverse $M \mapsto M^{\mathrm{co}H}$. So $\mathcal{M}_B$ has a $\mathcal{M}^H$-module category structure as in Example 2.3.

For two $\mathcal{C}$-modules categories $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$, a $\mathcal{C}$-linear functor or module functor $(F, \phi) : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ consists of an exact functor $F : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ and natural isomorphisms

$$\phi_{X,M} : F(X \otimes M) \to X \otimes F(M),$$

such that

$$(X \otimes \phi_{Y,M})\phi_{X,Y\otimes M} F(m_{X,Y,M}) = m_{X,Y,F(M)} \phi_{X\otimes Y,M},$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, $M \in M$.

If $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ are k-linear abelian categories, then $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Vec}_f}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is the category of k-linear exact functors, so $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Vec}_f}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$.

A $\mathcal{C}$-linear natural transformation between $\mathcal{C}$-linear functors $(F,\phi),(F',\phi'):\mathcal{M}\to\mathcal{N}$, is a k-linear natural transformation $\sigma: F\to F'$ such that

$$\phi'_{X,M}\sigma_{X\otimes M}=(X\otimes\sigma_M)\phi_{X,M},$$

for all $X\in\mathcal{C}, M\in\mathcal{M}$.

We shall denote the category of $\mathcal{C}$-linear functors and $\mathcal{C}$-linear natural transformations between $\mathcal{C}$-modules categories $\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N}$ by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})$.

Definition 2.6. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor category and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-module category. A $\mathcal{C}$-submodule category of $\mathcal{M}$ is a Serre subcategory $\mathcal{N}\subseteq\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{M}$, such that is a $\mathcal{C}$-module category with respect to $\otimes$.

A $\mathcal{C}$-module category will be called simple if it does not contain any non-trivial $\mathcal{C}$-submodule category.

For $\mathcal{C}$-linear functors $(G,\psi):\mathcal{D}\to\mathcal{M}$ and $(F,\phi):\mathcal{M}\to\mathcal{N}$, the composition is a $\mathcal{C}$-linear functor $(F\circ G,\theta):\mathcal{D}\to\mathcal{N}$, where

$$\theta_{X,L}=\phi_{X,G(L)}F(\psi_{X,L}),$$

for $X\in\mathcal{C}$, $L\in\mathcal{D}$. So we have a bifunctor

$$\begin{gathered}\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})\times\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{M})\to\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{N})\\ ((F,\phi),(G,\psi))\to(F,\phi)\circ(G,\psi).\end{gathered}$$

2.1. Strict module categories.

A monoidal category is called *strict* if its associativity constraint is the identity. In the same way we say that a module category $(\mathcal{M},\otimes,\alpha)$ over a strict monoidal category $(\mathcal{C},\otimes,1)$ is *strict*, if α is the identity.

The main result of this subsection establishes that every monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ is monoidally equivalent to a strict monoidal category $\mathcal{C}'$, such that every module category over $\mathcal{C}'$ is equivalent to a strict one.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a monoidal category. Then $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{C})\cong\mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{C}$ is a left $\mathcal{C}$-module category with the tensor product and the isomorphism of associativity. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}^{op}\cong\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{C})$ as monoidal categories (where $\mathcal{C}^{op}=\mathcal{C}$ as categories, and tensor product $V\otimes^{op}W=W\otimes V$).*

Proof. We define the functor $\widehat{(-)}:\mathcal{C}\to\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{C})$ as follows: given $V\in\mathcal{C}$, the functor $(\widehat{V},\alpha_{-,-V}):\mathcal{C}\to\mathcal{C}, W\mapsto W\otimes V$, $\alpha_{X,Y,V}:\widehat{V}(X\otimes Y)\to X\otimes\widehat{V}(Y)$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-module functor. If $\phi: V\to V'$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$, we define the natural transformation $\widehat{\phi}:\widehat{V}\to\widehat{V'}$, as $\widehat{\phi}_W=\mathrm{id}_W\otimes\phi:\widehat{V}(W)=W\otimes V\to\widehat{V'}(W)=W\otimes V'$.

The natural isomorphism

$$\alpha_{-,W,V}:\widehat{V}\circ\widehat{W}\to\widehat{V\otimes^{op}W},$$

gives a structure of monoidal functor to $\widehat{(-)}$.

Let $(F,\psi):\mathcal{C}\to\mathcal{C}$ be a module functor. Then we have a natural isomorphism

$$\sigma_X=\psi_{X,1}:F(X)=F(X\otimes 1)\to X\otimes F(1)=\widehat{F(1)}(X),$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{X,Y,F(1)}\sigma_{X\otimes Y}&=\alpha_{X,Y,F(1)}\psi_{X\otimes Y,1}\\&=\mathrm{id}_X\otimes\psi_{Y,1}\circ\psi_{X,Y}\\&=\mathrm{id}_X\otimes\sigma_Y\circ\psi_{X,Y}.\end{aligned}$$

That is, σ_X is a natural isomorphism module between (F,ψ) and $(F(1),\alpha_{-,-,F(1)})$. So the functor is essentially surjective.

Let $\phi : \widehat{V} \to \widehat{V'}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-linear natural morphism. Then $\alpha_{X,1,V}\phi_X = \mathrm{id}_X \otimes \phi_1\alpha_{X,1,V'}$, so $\phi_X = \mathrm{id}_X \otimes \phi_1$, and the monoidal functor $\widehat{(-)}$ is faithful and full. Hence, by [16, Theorem 1, p. 91] and [22, Proposition 4.4.2], the functor is an equivalence of monoidal categories. □

Proposition 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a monoidal category, then there is a strict monoidal category $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$, such that every module category over $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is equivalent to a strict $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$-module category and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is monoidally equivalent to $\mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. Let $\overline{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{C})^{op}$. By Lemma 2.7, $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is monoidally equivalent to $\mathcal{C}$. Let $(\mathcal{M},\otimes,m)$ be a left $\mathcal{C}$-module category. The category $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{M})$ is a strict left $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$-module category with the composition of $\mathcal{C}$-module functors. Conversely, if $\mathcal{M}'$ is a $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$-module category, then $\mathcal{M}'$ is a module category over $\mathcal{C}$, using the tensor equivalence $\widehat{(-)} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{C})$.

In a similar way to the proof of the Lemma 2.7, the functor

$$\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C},\mathcal{M})$$
$$M \mapsto (\widehat{M}, m_{-,-,M}),$$

is an equivalence of $\mathcal{C}$-module categories. So every module category over $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is equivalent to a strict one. □

2.2. Tensor product of module categories.

Definition 2.9. [27, pp. 518] Let $(\mathcal{M},m)$ and $(\mathcal{N},n)$ be right and left $\mathcal{C}$-module categories respectively. A $\mathcal{C}$-bilinear functor $(F,\zeta) : \mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{D}$ is a bifunctor $F : \mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{D}$, together with natural isomorphisms

$$\zeta_{M,X,N} : F(M\otimes X, N) \to F(M, X\otimes N),$$

such that

$$F(m_{M,X,Y},N)\zeta_{M,X\otimes Y,N}F(M,n_{X,Y,N}) = \zeta_{M\otimes X,Y,N}\zeta_{M,X,Y\otimes N},$$

for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$, $N \in \mathcal{N}$, $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$.

A natural transformation $\omega : (F,\zeta) \to (F',\zeta')$ between $\mathcal{C}$-bilinear functors, is a natural transformation $\omega_{M,N} : F(M,N) \to F'(M,N)$ such that

$$\omega_{M,X\otimes N}\zeta_{M,X,N} = \alpha'_{M,X,N}\omega_{M\otimes X,N},$$

for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$, $N \in \mathcal{N}$, $X \in \mathcal{C}$.

Example 2.10. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor category and let $\mathcal{D}$ be a tensor subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$. Let $(\mathcal{M},m)$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-module category and let $\mathcal{N}$ be a $\mathcal{D}$-module subcategory of the $\mathcal{D}$-module category $\mathcal{M}$. Then the functor $\mathcal{C}\times\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{M}$, $(V,N) \to V\otimes M$, has a canonical $\mathcal{D}$-bilinear structure. Here, $\mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-module category in the obvious way, and the $\mathcal{D}$-bilineal isomorphism is given by m.

We shall denote by $\mathrm{Bil}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N};\mathcal{D})$ the category of $\mathcal{C}$-bilinear functors. In [27] a k-linear category (not necessarily abelian) $\mathcal{M}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{N}$ is constructed by generators and relations, together with a $\mathcal{C}$-bilinear functor $T : \mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{M}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{N}$, that induces an equivalence of k-linear categories $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{N},\mathcal{D}) \to \mathrm{Bil}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N};\mathcal{D})$, for every k-linear category $\mathcal{D}$.

The objects of $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N}$ are finite sums of symbols $[X, Y]$, for objects $X \in \mathcal{M}$, $Y \in \mathcal{N}$. Morphisms are sums of compositions of symbols

$$[f, g] : [X, Y] \to [X', Y'],$$

for $f : X \to X'$, $g : Y \to Y'$, symbols

$$\alpha_{X,V,Y} : [X \otimes V, Y] \to [X, V \otimes Y],$$

for $X \in \mathcal{M}$, $V \in \mathcal{C}$, $N \in \mathcal{N}$, and symbols for the formal inverse of $\alpha_{X,V,Y}$. The generator morphisms satisfy the following relations:

(i) Linearity:

$$[f + f', g] = [f, g] + [f', g], \quad [f, g + g'] = [f, g] + [f, g'],$$
$$[af, g] = [f, ag] = a[f, g],$$

for all morphisms $f, f' : M \to M'$ in $\mathcal{M}$, $g, g' : N \to N'$ in $\mathcal{N}$, and $a \in k$.

(ii) Functoriality:

$$[ff', gg'] = [f', g'][f, g], \quad [\mathrm{id}_M, \mathrm{id}_N] = \mathrm{id}_{[M,N]},$$

for all $f : M \to M'$, $f' : M' \to M''$ in $\mathcal{M}$, and $g : N \to N'$, $g' : N' \to N''$ in $\mathcal{N}$.

(iii) Naturality:

$$\alpha_{M',V',N'}[f \otimes u, g] = [f, u \otimes g]\alpha_{M,V,N},$$

for morphisms $f : M \to M'$ in $\mathcal{M}$, $u : V \to V'$ in $\mathcal{C}$, and $g : N \to N'$ in $\mathcal{N}$.

(iv) Coherence:

$$[\alpha_{M,V,W}, \mathrm{id}_N]\alpha_{M,V\otimes W,N}[\mathrm{id}_M, \alpha_{V,W,N}] = \alpha_{M\otimes V,Y,N}\alpha_{M,X,Y\otimes N},$$

for all $M \in \mathcal{M}, N \in \mathcal{N}, V, W \in \mathcal{C}$.

Let $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ be k-linear categories, then the category $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N} := \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathrm{Vec}_f} \mathcal{N}$, is the tensor product of k-linear tensor categories; see [5, Definition 1.1.15]. If $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are semisimple categories, this is the Deligne's tensor product of abelian categories [9].

Definition 2.11. [27, pp. 517] Let $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ be tensor categories. A $\mathcal{C}_1$-$\mathcal{C}_2$-bimodule category is a k-linear abelian category $\mathcal{M}$, equipped with exact bifunctors $\otimes : \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$, $\otimes : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{C}_2 \to \mathcal{M}$, and naturals isomorphisms

$$\alpha_{X,Y,M} : (X \otimes Y) \otimes M \to X \otimes (Y \otimes M),$$
$$\alpha_{X,M,S} : (X \otimes M) \otimes S \to X \otimes (M \otimes S),$$
$$\alpha_{M,S,T} : (M \otimes S) \otimes T \to M \otimes (S \otimes T),$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_1$, $M \in \mathcal{M}$, $S, T \in \mathcal{C}_2$, such that $\mathcal{M}$ is a left $\mathcal{C}_1$-module category with $\alpha_{X,Y,M}$, it is a right $\mathcal{C}_2$-module category with $\alpha_{M,S,T}$, and

$$\mathrm{id}_X \otimes \alpha_{Y,M,S}\alpha_{X,Y\otimes M,S}\alpha_{X,Y,M} \otimes \mathrm{id}_S = \alpha_{X,Y,M\otimes Z}\alpha_{X\otimes Y,M,S},$$
$$\mathrm{id}_X \otimes \alpha_{M,S,T}\alpha_{X,M\otimes S,T}\alpha_{X,M,S} \otimes \mathrm{id}_T = \alpha_{X,M,S\otimes T}\alpha_{X\otimes M,S,T}.$$

If $\mathcal{M}$ is a $(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2)$-bimodule category and $\mathcal{N}$ is a right $\mathcal{C}_2$-bimodule category, then the category $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_2} \mathcal{N}$ has a structure of left $\mathcal{C}_1$-module category.

The action of an object $X \in \mathcal{C}_1$ over an object $[M, N] \in \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_2} \mathcal{N}$ is given by

$$X \otimes [M, N] = [X \otimes M, N].$$

The action over the morphisms $\alpha_{M,Y,N}$ is given by $\mathrm{id}_X \otimes \alpha_{M,Y,N} = \alpha_{X\otimes M,X,Y,N} \circ [\alpha^{-1}_{X,M,Y}, N]$, and the associativity is

$$[\alpha_{X,Y,M}, N] : [(X \otimes Y) \otimes M, N] \to [X \otimes (Y \otimes M), N].$$

Proposition 2.12. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor category. Let $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2$ be $\mathcal{C}$-bimodule categories, and let $\mathcal{M}_3$ be a right $\mathcal{C}$-module category. Then*

1. *$\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}_3 \cong \mathcal{M}_3$, as left $\mathcal{C}$-module categories.*
2. *$(\mathcal{M}_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}_2) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}_3 \cong \mathcal{M}_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} (\mathcal{M}_2 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}_3)$, as left $\mathcal{C}$-module categories.*
3. *if $\mathcal{M} = \oplus_i^n \mathcal{M}^i$, $\mathcal{N} = \oplus_j^m \mathcal{N}^j$, as right and left $\mathcal{C}$-module categories, then $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N} = \oplus_{i,j} \mathcal{M}_i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N}_j$, as k-linear categories.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.8, we can suppose that all module categories are strict.

(1) The functor $F : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}$ $M \mapsto [1, M]$, is a category equivalence. In effect, using the isomorphism $\alpha_{1,X,M}$, we can see that F is essentially surjective, and every morphism between $[1, M]$ and $[1, N]$ is of the form $[1, f]$, for $f : M \to N$. Then F is faithful and full. Moreover, with the natural isomorphism $\eta_{V,M} = \alpha_{1,V,M} : F(V \otimes N) \to V \otimes F(N)$, the pair (F, η) is a $\mathcal{C}$-linear functor, since

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{V\otimes W,M} = \alpha_{1,V\otimes W,M} &= \alpha_{V,W,M} \circ \alpha_{1,V,W\otimes M}\\ &= \mathrm{id}_V \otimes \alpha_{1,W,M} \circ \eta_{V,W\otimes M}\\ &= \mathrm{id}_V \otimes \eta_{W,M} \circ \eta_{V,W\otimes M}.\end{aligned}$$

(2) For every object $M_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1$, the functor $\lambda_{M_1} : \mathcal{M}_2 \times \mathcal{M}_3 \to (\mathcal{M}_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}_2) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}_3$, where

$$\lambda_{M_1}(M_2, M_3) = [[M_1, M_2], M_3], \quad \lambda_{M_1}(f, g) = [[\mathrm{id}_{M_1}, f], g],$$

with the natural transformation $\eta^1_{M_2,V,M_3} := \alpha_{[M_1,M_2],V,M_3}$, is a $\mathcal{C}$-bilinear functor. So we have a family of functors $\overline{\lambda_{M_1}} : \mathcal{M}_2 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}_3 \to (\mathcal{M}_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}_2) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}_3$, $\overline{\lambda_{M_1}}([M_2, M_3]) = [[M_1, M_2], M_3]$. Now, the functor

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_1 \times (\mathcal{M}_2 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}_3) &\to (\mathcal{M}_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}_2) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}_3,\\ (M_1, [M_2, M_3]) &\mapsto \overline{\lambda_{M_1}}([M_2, M_3]),\end{aligned}$$

with the natural transformation $\eta^2_{M_1,V,[M_2,M_3]} = \alpha_{M_1,V,[M_2,M_3]}$, is a $\mathcal{C}$-bilinear functor. So we have a functor $\pi : \mathcal{M}_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} (\mathcal{M}_2 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}_3) \to (\mathcal{M}_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}_2) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}_3$, $[M_1, [M_2, M_3]] \mapsto [[M_1, M_2], M_3]$. The functor π is essentially surjective and

$$\begin{aligned}\pi([f, [g, h]]) &= [[f, g], h]\\ \pi([\mathrm{id}_{M_1} \alpha_{M_2,V,M_3}]) &= \alpha_{[M_1,M_2],V,M_3}\\ \pi(\alpha_{M_1,V,[M_2,M_3]}) &= [\alpha_{M_1,V,M_2}, \mathrm{id}_{M_3}].\end{aligned}$$

So π is faithful and full, hence by [16, Theorem 1, pp. 91], the functor π is a category equivalence. Finally, note that the functor π is $\mathcal{C}$-linear.

(3) Its follows directly by the construction of $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N}$. □

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a G-graded tensor category. Note that if $H \subseteq G$ is a subgroup of G, then the category $\mathcal{C}_H = \oplus_{\tau\in H} \mathcal{C}_\tau$ is a tensor subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$.

We shall say that an object $U \in \mathcal{C}$ is *invertible* if the functor $U \otimes (-) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}, V \mapsto U \otimes V$ is a category equivalence or, equivalently, if there is an object $U^* \in \mathcal{C}$, such that $U^* \otimes U \cong U \otimes U^* \cong 1$.

Proposition 2.13. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a G-graded category and let $H \subseteq G$ be a subgroup of G. Suppose that the every category $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ has at least one invertible object, for every $\sigma \in G$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a module category over $\mathcal{C}_H = \oplus_{h\in H}\mathcal{C}_h$. Then the k-linear category $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M}$ is an abelian category. Moreover, since $\mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-$\mathcal{C}_H$-bimodule category then $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M}$ is a left module category over the tensor category $\mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. We shall suppose that the tensor category $\mathcal{C}$ is strict. Let $\Sigma = \{e, \sigma_1, \ldots\}$ be a set of representatives of the cosets G/H. Since $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{\sigma\in\Sigma} \mathcal{C}_{\sigma H}$ as right $\mathcal{C}_H$-module categories, $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{\sigma\in\Sigma} \mathcal{C}_{\sigma H} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M}$, as k-linear categories, by Proposition 2.12.

For every coset σH in G, let $U_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma$ be an invertible object. The functor $U_\sigma : \mathcal{C}_H \to \mathcal{C}_{\sigma H}$, $V \mapsto U_\sigma \otimes V$ is a category equivalence with a quasi-inverse $U_\sigma^* : \mathcal{C}_{\sigma H} \to \mathcal{C}_H$, $W \to U_\sigma^* \otimes W$. Then we can assume, up to isomorphisms, that every object of $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma H}$ is of the form $U_\sigma \otimes V$, where $V \in \mathcal{C}_H$.

Let $\bigoplus_i [V_i, M_i] \in \mathcal{C}_{\sigma H} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M}$. For every V_i there exist V_i' such that $V_i \cong U_\sigma \otimes V_i'$. Then $\bigoplus_i [V_i, M_i] \cong [U_\sigma, \bigoplus_i V_i' \otimes M_i]$, *i.e.*, we can assume, up to isomorphisms, that every object of $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma H} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M}$ is of the form $[U_\sigma, M]$.

If $U_\sigma \otimes V \cong U_\sigma$ then $V \cong 1$; so every morphism $[U_\sigma, M] \to [U_\sigma, M']$ is of the form $[\mathrm{id}_{U_\sigma}, f]$, where $f : M \to M'$. Then the functor : $\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{C}_{\sigma H} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M}, f \mapsto [\mathrm{id}_{U_\sigma}, f]$ is an equivalence of k-linear categories. We define the abelian structure over $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma H} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M}$ as the induced by this equivalence.

For the second part, note that

$$\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{\sigma\in\Sigma} \mathcal{C}_{\sigma H} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M}$$

as abelian category, so we need to prove that if

$$0 \to [U_\sigma, S] \to [U_\sigma, T] \to [U_\sigma, W] \to 0 \tag{2.1}$$

is an exact sequence in $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma H} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M}$, then the sequence

$$0 \to [X \otimes U_\sigma, S] \to [X \otimes U_\sigma, T] \to [X \otimes U_\sigma, W] \to 0 \tag{2.2}$$

is exact for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Since $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{\sigma\in G} \mathcal{C}_\sigma$ we can suppose that $X \in \mathcal{C}_\tau$, then $[X \otimes U_\sigma, S], [X \otimes U_\sigma, T], [X \otimes U_\sigma, W] \in \mathcal{C}_{\tau\sigma H} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M}$.

Let $U_{\tau\sigma} \in \mathcal{C}_{\tau\sigma}$ with inverse object $U_{\tau\sigma}^* \in \mathcal{C}_{(\tau\sigma)^{-1}}$, so we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \to [XU_\sigma, S] \arrow[r, "{[\mathrm{id}, f]}"] \arrow[d] & {[XU_\sigma, T]} \arrow[r, "{[\mathrm{id}, g]}"] \arrow[d] & {[XU_\sigma, W] \to 0} \arrow[d] \\
0 \to [U_{\tau\sigma}(U_{\tau\sigma}^* X U_\sigma), S] \arrow[r, "{[\mathrm{id}, f]}"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_{U_{\tau\sigma}, U_{\tau\sigma}^* X U_\sigma, S}"'] & {[U_{\tau\sigma}(U_{\tau\sigma}^* X U_\sigma), T]} \arrow[r, "{[\mathrm{id}, g]}"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_{U_{\tau\sigma}, U_{\tau\sigma}^* X U_\sigma, T}"'] & {[U_{\tau\sigma}(U_{\tau\sigma}^* X U_\sigma), W] \to 0} \arrow[d, "\alpha_{U_{\tau\sigma}, U_{\tau\sigma}^* X U_\sigma, W}"'] \\
0 \to [U_{\tau\sigma}, (U_{\tau\sigma}^* X U_\sigma) S] \arrow[r, "{[\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id}_{U_{\tau\sigma}^* X U_\sigma} f]}"] & {[U_{\tau\sigma}, (U_{\tau\sigma}^* X U_\sigma) T]} \arrow[r, "{[\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id}_{U_{\tau\sigma}^* X U_\sigma} g]}"] & {[U_{\tau\sigma}, (U_{\tau\sigma}^* X U_\sigma) W] \to 0}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where tensor symbols between objects and morphism have been omitted as a space-saving measure.

Then the sequence (2.2) is exact if and only if the sequence

$$0 \to [U_{\tau\sigma}, (U^*_{\tau\sigma} X U_\sigma)S] \to [U_{\tau\sigma}, (U^*_{\tau\sigma} X U_\sigma)T] \to [U_{\tau\sigma}, (U^*_{\tau\sigma} X U_\sigma)W] \to 0 \tag{2.3}$$

is exact. By definition the sequence (2.3) is exact if and only if the sequence

$$0 \to (U^*_{\tau\sigma} X U_\sigma)S \to (U^*_{\tau\sigma} X U_\sigma)T \to (U^*_{\tau\sigma} X U_\sigma)W \to 0$$

in $\mathcal{M}$ is exact, but since $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\mathcal{C}_H$-module category it is exact. □

3. Strongly graded tensor categories

Recall from Definition 1.3 that the G-graded category $\mathcal{C}$ is called strongly graded if the inclusion functor $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \cdot \mathcal{C}_\tau \to \mathcal{C}_{\sigma\tau}$ is a category equivalence for all $\sigma, \tau \in G$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor category. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is strongly graded over G if and only if the category $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ has at least one multiplicatively invertible element, for all $\sigma \in G$. Moreover, in this case the Grothendieck ring of $\mathcal{C}$ is a G-crossed product.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{C}$ is strongly graded by definition there there exist objects $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma, W_1, \dots, W_t \in \mathcal{C}_{\sigma^{-1}}$, such that $1 \cong \bigoplus_{i,j} V_i \otimes W_j$, then $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\bigoplus_{i,j} V_i \otimes W_j) \cong \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(1) \cong k$, so $n = 1, t = 1$. That is, there exist objects $V \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma, W \in \mathcal{C}_{\sigma^{-1}}$, such that $V \otimes W \cong 1$.

Conversely, suppose that $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ has at least an invertible object for all $\sigma \in G$. Let $U_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma$ be an invertible object with dual object $U^*_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_{\sigma^{-1}}$, so $V \cong U_\sigma \otimes (U^*_\sigma \otimes V)$ for every $V \in \mathcal{C}_{\sigma\tau}$. Then the inclusion functor is essentially surjective, and therefore it is an equivalence.

Recall that by definition a graded ring $A = \oplus_{\sigma \in G} A_\sigma$ is a crossed product over G if for all $\sigma \in G$ the abelian group A_σ has at least an invertible element. Thus, by the first part of the lemma, the Grothendieck ring of $\mathcal{C}$ is a G-crossed product if $\mathcal{C}$ is strongly graded. □

Example 3.2. Let Vec^G_ω be the semisimple category of finite dimensional G-graded vector spaces, with constraint of associativity $\omega(a, b, c)\mathrm{id}_{abc}$ for all $a, b, c \in G$, where $\omega \in Z^3(G, k^*)$ is a 3-cocycle. Then Vec^G_ω is a strongly G-graded tensor category.

Example 3.3. Let $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$ a crossed product tensor category. As we saw in the Introduction, the category $C \rtimes G$ is a strong G-graded tensor category. Now if we take a normalized 3-cocycle $\beta \in Z^3(G, k^*)$ and we define a new associator $\alpha^\beta_{[U,\sigma],[V,\tau],[W,\rho]} = \beta(\sigma, \tau, \rho)\alpha_{[U,\sigma],[V,\tau],[W,\rho]}$, then the new tensor category is strongly G-graded too.

3.1. Module categories graded over a G-set.

Definition 3.4. Let $\mathcal{C} = \oplus_{\sigma \in G} \mathcal{C}_\sigma$ be a graded tensor category and let X be a left G-set. A *left X-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module category* is a left $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{M}$ endowed with a decomposition

$$\mathcal{M} = \oplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{M}_x,$$

into a direct sum of full abelian subcategories, such that for all $\sigma \in G$, $x \in X$, the bifunctor $\otimes$ maps $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \times \mathcal{M}_x$ to $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma x}$.

An *X-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module functor* $F : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-module functor such that $F(\mathcal{M}_x)$ is mapped to $\mathcal{N}_x$, for all $x \in X$.

Definition 3.5. A left X-graded $\mathcal{C}$-submodule category of $\mathcal{M}$ is serre subcategory $\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{N}$ is an X-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module category with respect to $\otimes$, and the grading $\mathcal{N}_x \subseteq \mathcal{M}_x$, $x \in X$.

An X-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module category will be called *simple* if it contains no nontrivial X-graded $\mathcal{C}$-submodule category.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a G-graded tensor category and let $H \subseteq G$ a subgroup of G. If $\mathcal{N}$ is a left $\mathcal{C}_H$-module category, then the category $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{N}$ is a G/H-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module category with grading $(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{N})_{\sigma H} = (\oplus_{\tau \in \sigma H} \mathcal{C}_\tau) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{N}$.*

Proof. Let $\Sigma = \{e, \sigma_1, \ldots\}$ be a set of representatives of the cosets of G modulo H. By Proposition 2.12, $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{N} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mathcal{C}_{\sigma H} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{N}$ as k-linear categories, and by the definition of the action of $\mathcal{C}$, the module category $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{N}$ is G/H-graded. □

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a strongly G-graded tensor category, and let (A, m, e) be an algebra in $\mathcal{C}_H$. Then $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} (\mathcal{C}_H)_A \cong \mathcal{C}_A$ as G/H-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module categories.*

Proof. Let $\Sigma = \{e, \sigma_1, \ldots\}$ a set of representatives of the cosets of G modulo H. The $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{C}_A$ has a canonical G/H-grading: if (M, ρ) is an A-module then

$$(M, \rho) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \ \in \Sigma} (M_{\sigma H}, \rho_{\sigma H}),$$

where $M_{\sigma H} = \bigoplus_{h \in H} \mathcal{M}_{\sigma h}$, $\rho_{\sigma H} = \bigoplus_{h \in H} \rho_{\sigma h}$.

Let us consider the canonical $\mathcal{C}$-linear functor $F : \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} (\mathcal{C}_H)_A \to \mathcal{C}_A$,

$$[V, (M, \rho)] \mapsto (V \otimes M, \mathrm{id}_V \otimes \rho).$$

We shall first show that F is a category equivalence.

Let $U_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_{\sigma H}$ be an invertible object for every coset of H on G. Let $(M, \rho) \in \mathcal{C}_A$ be a homogeneous A-module of degree $\sigma^{-1}H$. Then the A-module $(U_\sigma \otimes M, \mathrm{id}_{U_\sigma} \otimes \rho)$ is also an A-module in $\mathcal{C}_H$ and $F([U_{\sigma^{-1}}, (U_\sigma \otimes M, \mathrm{id}_{U_\sigma} \otimes \rho)]) \cong (M, \rho) \in \mathcal{C}_A$. So F is an essentially surjective functor.

We can suppose, up to isomorphisms, that every object of $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma H} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} (\mathcal{C}_H)_A$ is of the form $[U_\sigma, (M, \rho)]$. Then $F([U_g, (M, \rho)]) = (U_g \otimes M, \mathrm{id}_{U_g} \otimes \rho)$. Now it is clear that the functor F is faithful and full, so by [16, Theorem 1, p. 91] the functor F is a category equivalence. □

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a strongly graded tensor category over a group G and let X be a transitive G-set. Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be non zero X-graded modules categories. Then*

1. *$\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M}_x$ as X-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module categories, where, for all $x \in X$, $H = \mathrm{st}(x)$ is the stabilizer subgroup of $x \in X$.*
2. *There is a bijective correspondence between isomorphisms classes of X-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module functors $(F, \eta) : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{C}_H$-module functors $(T, \rho) : \mathcal{M}_x \to \mathcal{N}_x$.*

Proof. (1) Choose $x \in X$, and denote $H = \mathrm{st}(x)$. In a similar way to the proof of Proposition 3.7, the canonical functor $\mu : \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M}_x \to \mathcal{M}$

$$[V, M] \to V \otimes M,$$

is a category equivalence and it respects the grading.

The proof of part (1) of the theorem is completed by showing that the functor μ is a $\mathcal{C}$-module functor. Indeed, by Proposition 2.8 we can assume that the module categories are strict, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(V \otimes [W, M_x]) &= \mu([V \otimes W, M_x]) \\ &= (V \otimes W) \otimes M_x = V \otimes (W \otimes M_x) \\ &= V \otimes \mu([W, M_x]),\end{aligned}$$

i.e., μ is a $\mathcal{C}$-module functor.

(2) By the first part we can suppose $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_H \mathcal{N}_x$. Let $(F, \mu) : \mathcal{N}_x \to \mathcal{M}_x$ be a $\mathcal{C}_H$-module functor, the functor

$$\begin{aligned}I(F) : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{N}_x &\to \mathcal{M} \\ (S, N) &\mapsto S \otimes F(N)\end{aligned}$$

with the natural transformation $\mathrm{id}_S \otimes \mu_{V,N} : I(F)(S, V \otimes N) \to I(S \otimes V, N)$ is a $\mathcal{C}_H$-bilinear functor, so we have a functor

$$\begin{aligned}I(F) : \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{N}_x &\to M \\ [S, N] &\mapsto V \otimes F(N) \\ \alpha_{S,V,N} &\mapsto \mathrm{id}_S \otimes \mu_{V,S},\end{aligned}$$

and this is an X-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module functor in the obvious way.

Let $(F = \oplus_{s \in X} F_s, \eta) : \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{N}_x \to M$ be an X-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module functor. Consider the natural isomorphism

$$\sigma_{[V,N]} := \eta_{V,[1,N]} : F([V, N]) \to V \otimes F_x([1, N]) = I(F_x)([V, N]),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{X \otimes [V,N]} = \eta_{X \otimes V,[1,N]} &= \mathrm{id}_X \otimes \eta_{V,[1,N]} \circ \eta_{X,[V,N]} \\ &= \mathrm{id}_X \otimes \sigma_{[V,N]} \circ \eta_{X,[V,N]}.\end{aligned}$$

So σ is a natural isomorphism of module functors. □

Corollary 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a strongly G-graded tensor category. Then there is a bijective correspondence between module categories over $\mathcal{C}_e$ and G-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module categories.*

Proof. It is a particular case of Theorem 3.8, with $X = G$. □

Proposition 3.10. *For every $\sigma, \tau \in G$, the canonical functor*

$$f_{\sigma,\tau} : \mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{C}_\tau \to \mathcal{C}_{\sigma\tau}, \quad f_{\sigma,\tau}([X, Y]) = X \otimes Y,$$

is an equivalence of $\mathcal{C}_e$-bimodule categories.

Proof. Let us consider the graded $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$, where $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(\tau)$ as $\mathcal{C}$-module categories, but with grading $(\mathcal{C}(\tau))_g = \mathcal{C}_{\tau g}$, for $\tau \in G$.

Since $\mathcal{C}(\tau)_e = \mathcal{C}_\tau$, by Theorem 3.8, the canonical functor $\mu(\mathcal{C}(\tau)_e) : \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{C}_\tau \to \mathcal{C}(\tau)$,

$$[X, Y] \mapsto X \otimes Y$$

is an equivalence of G-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module categories. So the restriction $\mu(\mathcal{C}(\tau))_\sigma : \mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{C}_\tau \to \mathcal{C}(\tau)_\sigma = \mathcal{C}_{\tau\sigma}$ is a $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category equivalence. But by definition $\mu(\mathcal{C}(\tau))_\sigma = f_{\sigma,\tau}$. It is clear that $f_{\sigma,\tau}$ is a $\mathcal{C}_e$-bimodule category functor, so the proof is finished. □

4. Clifford Theory

In this section we shall suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is a strongly graded tensor category over a group G.

We shall denote by $\Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}$ the set of equivalences classes of simple $\mathcal{C}_e$-module categories. Given a $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category $\mathcal{M}$, we shall denote by $\Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M})$ the set of equivalences classes of simple $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule categories of $\mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category. Then for all $\sigma \in G$, the category $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{M}$ is a simple $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category if and only if $\mathcal{M}$ is.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{N}$ is a proper $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule category of $\mathcal{M}$, then the category $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N}$ is a $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule category of $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{M}$, so the $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{M}$ is not simple.

By Proposition 3.10, we have that $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{C}_{g^{-1}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} (\mathcal{C}_g \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{M})$, so if $\mathcal{C}_g \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{M}$ is not simple, then $\mathcal{M}$ is not simple neither. ☐

By Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 3.10, the group G acts on $\Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}$ by

$$G \times \Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e} \to \Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}, \quad (g, [X]) \mapsto [\mathcal{C}_g \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} X].$$

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-module category, and let $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ be a Serre subcategory. We shall denote by $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$ the Serre subcategory given by $Ob(\mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}) = \{$subquotients of $V \otimes N : V \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma, N \in \mathcal{N}\}$. (Recall that a subquotient object is a subobject of a quotient object.)

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-module category and let $\mathcal{N}$ be a $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule category of $\mathcal{M}$. Then $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$, as $\mathcal{C}_e$-module categories, for all $\sigma \in G$.*

Proof. Define a G-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module category by $gr\text{-}\mathcal{N} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$, with action

$$\begin{aligned} \otimes : \mathcal{C}_\sigma \times \mathcal{C}_g \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N} &\to \mathcal{C}_{\sigma g} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N} \\ V_\sigma \times T &\mapsto V_\sigma \otimes T. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{C}_e \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}$ as $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category, by Theorem 3.8 the canonical functor $\mu(\mathcal{N}) : \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N} \to gr{-}\mathcal{N}$ is a category equivalence of G-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module categories and the restriction $\mu_\sigma : \mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$ is a $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category equivalence. ☐

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-module category. The action of G on $\Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}$ induces an action of G on $\Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M})$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a simple $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule category of $\mathcal{M}$. By Proposition 4.2 the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\sigma : \mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N} &\to \mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N} \\ [V, N] &\mapsto V \otimes N, \end{aligned}$$

is a $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category equivalence, so $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N}$ is equivalent to a $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule category of $\mathcal{M}$. ☐

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an abelian category and let $\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}'$ be Serre subcategories of $\mathcal{M}$, we shall denote $\mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}'$ the Serre subcategory of $\mathcal{M}$ where $Ob(\mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}') = \{$subquotients of $N \oplus N' : N \in \mathcal{N}, N' \in \mathcal{N}'\}$. It will be called the sum category of $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{N}'$.

Proof of the Theorem 1.5. (1) Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a simple abelian $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule category of $\mathcal{M}$, the canonical functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mu : \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N} &\to \mathcal{M} \\ [V, N] &\mapsto V \otimes N, \end{aligned}$$

is a $\mathcal{C}$-module functor and $\mu = \oplus_{\sigma \in G} \mu_\sigma$, where $\mu_\sigma = \mu|_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma}$. By Proposition 4.2 each μ_σ is a $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category equivalence with $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$.

Since $\mathcal{M}$ is simple, every object $M \in \mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to some subquotient of $\mu(X)$ for some object $X \in \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N}$. Then $\mathcal{M} = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$ and each $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$ is an abelian simple $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule category.

Let S, S' be simple abelian $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule categories of $\mathcal{M}$. Then there exist $\sigma, \tau \in G$ such that $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N} \cong S$, $\mathcal{C}_\tau \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N} \cong S'$, and by Proposition 3.10, $S' \cong \mathcal{C}_{\tau\sigma^{-1}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} S$. So the action is transitive.

(2) Let $H = \mathrm{st}([\mathcal{N}])$ be the stabilizer subgroup of $[\mathcal{N}] \in \Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M})$ and let

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}} = \sum_{h \in H} \mathcal{C}_h \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}.$$

Since H acts transitively on $\Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}})$, the $\mathcal{C}_H$-module category $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is simple. Let $\Sigma = \{e, \sigma_1, \ldots\}$ be a set of representatives of the cosets of G modulo H. The map $\phi : G/H \to \Omega_{\mathcal{C}_H}(M)$, $\phi(\sigma H) = [\mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}}]$ is an isomorphism of G-sets. Then $\mathcal{M}$ has a structure of G/H-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module category, where $\mathcal{M} = \oplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} M_{\mathcal{N}}$. By Proposition 3.8, $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}}$ as $\mathcal{C}$-module categories. □

Remark 4.4. Nikshych and Gelaki noted the existence of a grading by a transitive G-set for every indecomposable module category over a G-graded fusion category [15, Proposition 5.1]. Using the Theorem 3.8 and [15, Proposition 5.1], we can do an alternative proof of the main theorem in the case of strongly graded fusion categories.

5. Simple module categories over crossed product tensor categories and G-equivariant of tensor categories

5.1. ***G*-equivariantization of tensor categories.** Let G be a group acting on a category (not necessarily by tensor equivalences) $\mathcal{C}$, $* : \underline{G} \to \underline{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{C})}$, so we have the following data

- functors $\sigma_* : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$, for each $\sigma \in G$,
- isomorphism $\phi(\sigma, \tau) : (\sigma\tau)_* \to \sigma_* \circ \tau_*$, for all $\sigma, \tau \in G$.

The category of G-invariant objects in $\mathcal{C}$, denoted by $\mathcal{C}^G$, is the category defined as follows: an object in $\mathcal{C}^G$ is a pair (V, f), where V is an object of $\mathcal{M}$ and f is a family of isomorphisms $f_\sigma : \sigma_*(V) \to V$, $\sigma \in G$, such that, for all $\sigma, \tau \in G$,

$$\phi(\sigma, \tau) f_{\sigma\tau} = f_\sigma \sigma_*(f_\tau). \tag{5.1}$$

A G-equivariant morphism $\phi : (V, f) \to (W, g)$ between G-equivariant objects (V, f) and (W, g), is a morphism $u : V \to W$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $g_\sigma \circ \sigma_*(u) = u \circ f_\sigma$, for all $\sigma \in G$.

If the category $\mathcal{C}$ is a tensor category, and the action is by tensor autoequivalences $* : \underline{G} \to \underline{\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})}$, then we have a natural isomorphism

- $\psi(\sigma)_{V,W} : \sigma_*(V) \otimes \sigma_*(W) \to \sigma_*(V \otimes W)$, for all $\sigma \in G$, $V, W \in \mathcal{C}$.

thus $\mathcal{C}^G$ has a tensor product defined by

$$(V,f)\otimes(W,g):=(V\otimes W,h),$$

where

$$h_\sigma=u_\sigma v_\sigma \psi(\sigma)^{-1}_{V,W},$$

and unit object $(1,\mathrm{id}_1)$.

Example 5.1. The comodule category of a cocentral cleft exact sequence of Hopf algebras. Let G be a group and let

$$k\to A\to H\xrightarrow{\pi} kG\to k \tag{5.2}$$

be a cocentral cleft exact sequence of Hopf algebras, *i.e.*, the projection $\pi: H\to kG$ admits a kG-colinear section $j: kG\to H$, invertible with respect to convolution product.

Since the sequence is cleft, the Hopf algebra H has the structure of a bicrossed product $H\cong A^\tau\#_\sigma kG$ with respect a certain compatible datum $(\cdot,\rho,\sigma,\tau)$, where $\cdot: A\otimes kG\to A$ is a weak action, $\sigma: kG\otimes kG\to A$ is invertible cocycle, $\rho: kG\to kG\otimes A$ is a weak coaction, $\tau: kG\to A\otimes A$ is a dual cocycle, subjects to compatibility conditions in [1, Theorem 2.20].

The projection in (5.2), is called cocentral if $\pi(h_1)\otimes h_2=\pi(h_2)\otimes h_1$, this is equivalent to the weak coaction ρ to be trivial, see [17, Lemma 3.3].

Lemma 5.2. *Let $H\cong A^\tau\#_\sigma kG$ be a bicrossed product with trivial coaction. Then the group G acts over the category of right A-modules ${}_A\mathcal{M}$, and ${}_H\mathcal{M}\cong({}_A\mathcal{M})^G$ as tensor categories, were ${}_H\mathcal{M}$ is the category of right H-modules .*

Proof. See [17, Lemma 3.3]. □

Remark 5.3. Let H be a semisimple Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. By [15, Proof of Theorem 3.8], the fusion category ${}^H\mathcal{M}$ of finite dimensional comodules is G-graded (not necessary strongly graded) if and only if there is a cocentral exact sequence of Hopf algebras as in (5.2). In this case, the fusion category ${}^H\mathcal{M}$ is weakly Morita equivalent to a G-crossed tensor category ${}_A\mathcal{M}\rtimes G$. That is, ${}^H\mathcal{M}\cong\mathcal{F}_{{}_A\mathcal{M}\rtimes G}(\mathcal{N},\mathcal{N})$, for some indecomposable ${}_A\mathcal{M}\rtimes G$-module category $\mathcal{N}$.

5.2. **The obstruction to a G-action over a tensor category.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor category, we shall denote by $\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})$ the group of tensor auto-equivalences, it is the set of isomorphisms classes of auto-equivalences of $\mathcal{C}$, with the multiplication induced by the composition: $[F][F']=[F\circ F']$.

Every G-action over a tensor category induces a group homomorphism $\psi: G\to\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})$. We shall say that a homomorphism $\psi: G\to\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})$ is realizable if there is some G-action such the induced group homomorphism coincides with ψ.

The goal of this subsection is show that for every homomorphism $\psi: G\to\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})$, there is an associated element in a 3rd cohomology group which is zero if and only if ψ is realizable. Moreover, every realization is in correspondence (non natural) with an element of a 2nd cohomology group.

5.2.1. *Categorical-groups.* A categorical-group $\mathcal{G}$ is a monoidal category where every object, and every arrow is invertible, see [4] for a complete reference.

A trivial example of a categorical-group is the discrete categorical-group $\underline{G}$, associated to a group G. The objects of $\underline{G}$ are the elements of G, the arrows are only the identities, and the tensor product is the multiplication of G.

Complete invariants of a categorical-group $\mathcal{G}$ with respect to monoidal equivalences are

$$\pi_0(\mathcal{G}), \pi_1(\mathcal{G}), \alpha \in H^3(\pi_0(\mathcal{G}), \pi_1(\mathcal{G})),$$

where $\pi_0(\mathcal{G})$ is the group of isomorphism classes of objects, $\pi_1(\mathcal{G})$ is the abelian group of automorphisms of the unit object. The group $\pi_1(\mathcal{G})$ is a $\pi_0(\mathcal{G})$-module in the natural way, and α is a third cohomology class given by the associator.

Complete invariants of a monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}'$ between categorical-groups, with respect to monoidal isomorphisms are

$$\pi_0(F) : \pi_0(\mathcal{G}) \to \pi_0(\mathcal{G}'), \pi_1(F) : \pi_1(\mathcal{G}) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{G}'), \theta(F) : \pi_0(\mathcal{G}) \times \pi_0(\mathcal{G}) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{G}')$$

where $\pi_0(F)$ is a morphism of groups, $\pi_1(F)$ is a morphism of $\pi_0(\mathcal{G})$-modules and $\theta(F)$ is a class in $C^2(\pi_0(\mathcal{G}), \pi_1(\mathcal{G}'))/B^2(\pi_0(\mathcal{G}), \pi_1(\mathcal{G}'))$, such that

$$\delta(\theta(F)) = \pi_1(\mathcal{G}')_*(\phi(\mathcal{G})) - \pi_0(\mathcal{G}')^*(\phi(\mathcal{G}')),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0(F)^* : C^*(\pi_0(\mathcal{G}'), \pi_1(\mathcal{G}')) \to C^*(\pi_0(\mathcal{G}), \pi_1(\mathcal{G}')), \\ \pi_1(F)_* : C^*(\pi_0(\mathcal{G}), \pi_(\mathcal{G})) \to C^*(\pi_0(\mathcal{G}), \pi_1(\mathcal{G}')), \end{aligned}$$

are the maps of cochain complexes induced by the group morphisms $\pi_0(F)$ and $\pi_1(F)$. The next result follows from the last discussion or see [4].

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a categorical group and let $f : G \to \pi_0(\mathcal{G})$ be a morphism of groups. Then there is a monoidal functor $F : \underline{G} \to \mathcal{G}$, such that $f = \pi_0(F)$ if and only if the cohomology class of $f_*(\phi)$ is zero.*

If $f_*(\phi)$ is zero, the classes of equivalence of monoidal functors $F : \underline{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ are in one to one correspondence with $H^2(G, \pi_1((\mathcal{G})))$.

Proof. The monoidal category $\underline{G}$ has invariants $\pi_0(\underline{G}) = G$ and $\pi_1(\underline{G}) = 0$. Then, the proof follows from the discussions of this subsection, or see [4]. □

5.2.2. *The obstruction to a G-action over a tensor category and cyclic actions.* Let $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})}$ be the monoidal category of tensor auto-equivalences of a tensor category $\mathcal{C}$, where arrows are tensor natural isomorphisms and tensor product given by composition of functors. Then $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})}$ is a categorical-group.

The invariants associated to $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})}$ (see Subsection 5.2.1) are Then $\pi_0(\underline{\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})}) = \mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})$, and $\pi_1(\underline{\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})}) = \mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathrm{id}_\mathcal{C})$, the group of monoidal natural isomorphisms of the identity functor.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor category and let G be a group. Consider the data $(Aut_\otimes(\mathcal{C}), Aut_\otimes(id_\mathcal{C}), [a])$ associated to the categorical-group $\underline{Aut_\otimes(\mathcal{C})}$. Then*

- *a group homomorphism $f : G \to Aut_\otimes(\mathcal{C})$ is realized as a G-action over $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if $0 = [f_*(a)] \in H^3(G, Aut_\otimes(id_\mathcal{C}))$.*
- *If the group homomorphism $f : G \to Aut_\otimes(\mathcal{C})$ is realizable, then the set of realizations of f is in 1-1 correspondence with $Z^2(G, Aut_\otimes(id_\mathcal{C}))$, and the set of equivalences classes of realizations of f is in 1-1 correspondence with $H^2(G, Aut_\otimes(id_\mathcal{C}))$.*

Proof. The Theorem is a particular case of the Proposition 5.4. □

Recall that if A is a module for the cyclic group C_m of order m, then:

$$H^n(C_m;A) = \begin{cases} \{a \in A : Na = 0\}/(\sigma - 1)A, & \text{if } n = 1,3,5,\dots \\ A^{C_m}/NA, & \text{if } n = 2,4,6,\dots, \end{cases} \tag{5.3}$$

where $N = 1 + \sigma + \sigma^2 + \cdots + \sigma^{m-1}$, see [31, Theorem 6.2.2]. Given an element $a \in A^{C_m}$ the associated 2-cocycle can be constructed as follows.

$$\gamma_a(\sigma^i,\sigma^j) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i+j < m, \\ a^{i+j-m}, & \text{if } i+j \geq m. \end{cases} \tag{5.4}$$

Let $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ be a monoidal equivalence, such that there is a monoidal natural isomorphism $\alpha : F^m \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$. By Theorems 5.5 and (5.3), the induced homomorphism $\psi : C_m \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$ is realizable if and only if $\mathrm{id}_F \otimes \alpha \otimes \mathrm{id}_{F^{-1}} = \alpha$. In this case, two natural isomorphisms $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 : F^m \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ realize equivalent C_m-actions if and only if there is a monoidal natural isomorphism $\theta : F_1 \to F_2$ such that $\theta^m F_1 = F_2$.

Corollary 5.6. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor category and let C_m be cyclic group of order m. Then the set of C_m-actions over $\mathcal{C}$ are in 1-1 correspondence with pairs (F,α), where $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is a monoidal equivalence, $\alpha : F^m \to id_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a monoidal natural isomorphism such $id_F \otimes \alpha = \alpha \otimes id_F$.*

Two pairs (F_1,α_1) and (F_2,α_2) induce equivalent C_m-actions if and only if there is a monoidal natural isomorphism $\theta : F_1 \to F_2$ such that $\theta^m F_1 = F_2$.

The description of the 2-cocycle associated to a C_m-invariant element (5.4), is as follows: the C_m-action $\psi : C^m \to \underline{\mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})}$ associated to a pair (F,α) is $\psi(1) = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\psi(\sigma^i) = F^i$, $i = 1,\dots m-1$, and the monoidal natural isomorphisms $\phi_\alpha(\sigma^i,\sigma^j) : F^i \circ F^j \to F^{i+j}$

$$\phi_\alpha(\sigma^i,\sigma^j) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}}, & \text{if } i+j < m, \\ \mathrm{id}_F \otimes \alpha^{i+j-m} = \alpha^{i+j-m} \otimes \mathrm{id}_F, & \text{if } i+j \geq m. \end{cases} \tag{5.5}$$

5.2.3. *The bigalois group of a Hopf algebra.* Let H be a Hopf algebra. A right H-Galois object is a non-zero right H-comodule algebra A such that the linear map defined by $\mathrm{can} : A \otimes A \to A \otimes H, a \otimes b \mapsto ab_{(0)} \otimes b_{(1)}$ is bijective.

A fiber functor $F :\ {}^H\mathcal{M} \to Vec_k$ is an exact and faithful monoidal functor that commutes with colimits. Ulbrich defined in [30] a fiber functor F_A associated with each H-Galois object A, in the form $F_A(V) = A\square_H V$, where $A\square_H V$ is the cotensor product over H of the right H-comodule A and the left H-comodule V. He showed in *loc. cit.* that this defines a category equivalence between H-Galois objects and fiber functors over ${}^H\mathcal{M}$.

Similarly, a left H-Galois object is a non-zero left H-comodule algebra A such that the linear map $\mathrm{can} : A \otimes A \to H \otimes A, a \otimes b \mapsto a_{(-1)} \otimes a_{(0)}b$ is bijective.

Let H and Q be Hopf algebras. An H-Q-bigalois object is an algebra A which is an H-Q-bicomodule algebra and both a left H-Galois object and a right Q-Galois object.

Let A be an H-Galois object. Schauenburg shows in [23, Theorem 3.5] that there is a Hopf algebra $L(A,H)$ such that A is a $L(A,H)$-H-bigalois object.

The Hopf algebra $L(A, H)$ is the Tannakian-Krein reconstruction from the fiber functor associated to A. By [23, Corollary 5.7], the following categories are equivalent:

- The monoidal category $\underline{\mathrm{BiGal}(H)}$, where objects are H-bigalois object, morphism are morphism of A–bicomodules algebras, and tensor product $A\Box_H B$, the cotensor product over H.
- The monoidal category $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\ {}^{H}\mathcal{M})}$.

Schauenburg defined the group $\mathrm{BiGal}(H)$ as the set of isomorphism classes of H-bigalois objects with multiplication induced by the cotensor product. This group coincides with $\mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}({}^{H}\mathcal{M})$.

Is easy to see that for the Hopf algebra kG of a group G, $\mathrm{BiGal}(kG) = Aut(G) \rtimes H^2(G, k^*)$. However, it is difficult to find an explicit description in general. The group $\mathrm{BiGal}(H)$ has been calculated for some Hopf algebras, for example: Taft algebras [25], monoidal non-semisimple Hopf algebras [6], the algebra of function over a finite group coprime to 6 [8].

5.2.4. *The abelian group $Aut_{\otimes}(id_{\mathcal{C}})$ for Hopf algebras.*

Proposition 5.7. *Let H be a Hopf algebra. Then $Aut_{\otimes}(id_{{}_H\mathcal{M}}) \cong G(H) \cap Z(H)$ the group of central group-likes of H.*

Proof. The maps $H \otimes_k (M \otimes_k N) \to (H \otimes_H N) \otimes_k (H \otimes_H N), h \otimes m \otimes n \mapsto (h_{(1)} \otimes m) \otimes (h_{(2)} \otimes n)$, and $H \otimes_k k \to k, h \otimes 1 \mapsto \epsilon(h)$, induce natural H-module morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} F_{M,N} &: H \otimes_H (M \otimes N) \to (H \otimes_H M) \otimes (H \otimes_H N) \\ F^0 &: H \otimes_H k \to k. \end{aligned}$$

The identity monoidal functor is naturally isomorphic to $(.H. \otimes_H (-), F, F^0)$, and it is well-know that every H-bimodule endomorphism is of the form $\psi_c : H \to H, h \mapsto ch$, for some $c \in Z(H)$. The natural transformation associated to ψ_c is monoidal if and only if ψ_c is a bimodule coalgebra map, *i.e.*, if c is a group-like. □

For the group algebra kG, we have $\mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathrm{id}_{{}_{kG}\mathcal{M}}) \cong Z(G)$ the center of G, and for a Hopf algebra $\mathbb{C}^G$, where G is a finite group, we have $\mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathrm{id}_{{}_{kG}\mathcal{M}}) \cong G/[G, G]$.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a complex fusion category, *i.e.*, a semisimple tensor category with finitely many isomorphisms classes of simple objects. In [15] it is shown that every fusion category is naturally graded by a group $U(\mathcal{C})$ called the universal grading group of $\mathcal{C}$. The group $U(\mathcal{C})$ only depends of the Grothendieck ring of $\mathcal{C}$.

In [15, Proposition 3.9] it is shown that if $\mathcal{C}$ is a fusion category and $G = U(\mathcal{C})$ is the universal grading group of $\mathcal{C}$, then $\mathrm{Aut}_{\otimes}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}}) \cong \widehat{G_{ab}}$ the group of characters of the maximal abelian quotient of G.

Corollary 5.8. *Let H be a semisimple almost-cocommutative Hopf algebra. Then $U({}_H\mathcal{M}) \cong Z(H) \cap G(H)$.*

Proof. Since H is almost-commutative the Grothendiek ring is commutative, hence the universal grading group is abelian. By Proposition 5.7 and [15, Proposition 3.9] $U({}_H\mathcal{M}) \cong Z(H) \cap G(H)$. □

5.3. **G-invariant actions on module categories.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor category and let $(\sigma, \psi) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ be a monoidal functor. If $(\mathcal{M}, \otimes, \alpha)$ is a right $\mathcal{C}$-module category, the twisted $\mathcal{C}$-module category $(\mathcal{M}^\sigma, \otimes^\sigma, \alpha^\sigma)$ is defined by: $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^\sigma$ as category, with $M \otimes^\sigma V = M \otimes \sigma(V)$, and $\alpha^\sigma_{M,V,W} = \mathrm{id}_M \otimes \psi_{V,W} \circ \alpha_{M,V,W}$.

Definition 5.9. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor category, $\mathcal{M}$ a left $\mathcal{C}$-module category and $\sigma : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ a monoidal functor. We shall say that the functor $(T, \eta) : M \to M^\sigma$ is a σ-equivariant functor of $\mathcal{M}$ if is a $\mathcal{C}$-module functor.

Given an action of a group G over $\mathcal{C}$, the module category $\mathcal{M}$ is called G-invariant if there is a σ-equivariant functor for each $\sigma \in G$.

Let $\sigma, \tau : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ be monoidal functors. Let also $(T, \eta) : M \to M^\sigma$ a σ-equivariant functor and $(T', \eta') : M \to M^\tau$ a τ-invariant functor. We define their composition by

$$(T'T, T'(\eta)(\eta'(T \times T))) : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}.$$

This gives a $\sigma \circ \tau$-equivariant functor of $\mathcal{M}$.

Given a G-action over a monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ and a G-invariant module category $\mathcal{M}$, we denote by $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})}$ the following monoidal category: objects are σ_*-equivariant functors, for all $\sigma \in G$, morphisms are natural isomorphisms of module functors, the tensor product is composition of $\mathcal{C}$-module functors and the unit object is the identity functor of $\mathcal{M}$.

Definition 5.10. Let $(\sigma_*, \phi(\sigma, \tau), \psi(\sigma)) : \underline{G} \to \underline{\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})}$ be an action of G over a tensor category $\mathcal{C}$, and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a G-invariant $\mathcal{C}$-module category. A G-invariant functor over $\mathcal{M}$ is a monoidal functor $(\sigma^*, \phi, \psi) : \underline{G} \to \underline{\mathrm{Aut}^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})}$, such that σ^* is a σ_*-invariant functor, for all $\sigma \in G$.

Remark 5.11. (1) A $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{M}$ with a G-invariant functor is called a *G-equivariant $\mathcal{C}$-module category* in [13, definition 5.2].

(2) Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a G-invariant monoidal category. The monoidal category $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})}$ is a graded categorical-group and the group $\mathrm{Aut}^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ has a natural group epimorphism $\pi : \mathrm{Aut}^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}) \to G$. So, if a group homomorphism $\psi : G \to \mathrm{Aut}^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ is realizable, then $\pi\psi = \mathrm{id}_G$. Such group homomorphisms will be called *split*.

(3) Let $\psi : G \to \mathrm{Aut}^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ be a split group homomorphism. If $a \in H^3(\mathrm{Aut}^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}), H)$ is the 3-cocycle associated to the categorical-group $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}^G_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})}$, then like in Theorem 5.5, ψ is realizable if and only if the 3-cocycle $\psi_*(a)$ is a 3-coboundary, and the set of realizations of ψ is in correspondence with the elements of a 2nd cohomology group.

The following result appears in [28, Sec. 2].

Proposition 5.12. *Let $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$ be a crossed product tensor category. Then there is a bijective correspondence between structures of $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$-module category and G-invariant functors over a $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$-module category. Each object $[1, \sigma]$, $\sigma \in G$, defines an equivalence $\sigma_* : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}, M \mapsto [1, \sigma] \otimes M$. With $\phi(\sigma, \tau)_M = \alpha_{(1,\sigma),(1,\tau),M}$ the constraint of associativity, this defines a monoidal functor $\underline{G} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$.

The category $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-module category with $V \otimes M = [V, e] \otimes V$ and since $[1, \sigma] \otimes [V, e] = [\sigma_*(V), e] \otimes [1, \sigma]$ we have a natural isomorphism $\psi(\sigma)_{V,M} : \sigma_*(V) \otimes \sigma(M) \to \sigma(V \otimes M)$, by $\psi(\sigma)_{V,M} = \alpha^{-1}_{(1,\sigma),(V,e),M} \circ \alpha_{(\sigma_*(V),e),(1,\sigma),M}$. This defines a G-invariant functor.

Conversely, if $\underline{G} \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}}^{G}(\mathcal{M})$ is a G-invariant functor, we have natural isomorphisms $\phi(\sigma,\tau)_M : \sigma_*\tau_*(M) \to \sigma\tau_*(M)$, $\psi(\sigma)_{V,M} : \sigma(V) \otimes \sigma(M) \to \sigma(V \otimes M)$. Then, we may define the action on $\mathcal{M}$ by

$$(V,\sigma) \otimes M := V \otimes \sigma_*(M),$$

and constraint of associativity

$$\alpha_{(V,\sigma),(W,\tau),M} = \mathrm{id}_{V\otimes\sigma_*(W)} \otimes \phi(\sigma,\tau)_M \circ \alpha_{V,\sigma_*(W),\sigma_*(\tau_*(M))} \circ \mathrm{id}_V \otimes \psi(\sigma)^{-1}_{W,M}.$$

□

Suppose that the group G is finite and the tensor category $\mathcal{C}$ is a fusion category over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Then the module categories over $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$ and $\mathcal{C}^G$ are in bijective correspondence by [18, Proposition 3.2]. If $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$-module category then, by Proposition 5.12, there is a G-action on $\mathcal{M}$, and the category $\mathcal{M}^G$ is a $\mathcal{C}^G$-module category with

$$(V,f) \otimes (M,g) := (V \otimes M, h),$$

where

$$h_\sigma = g_\sigma h_\sigma \psi(\sigma)^{-1}_{V,M}.$$

For a k-linear monoidal category and G finite where $\mathrm{char}(k) \nmid |G|$, Theorem [28, Theorem 4.1] says that every $\mathcal{C}^G$-module category is of the form $\mathcal{M}^G$ for a $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$-module category. The following result appears in [13] for fusion categories and finite groups.

Theorem 5.13. *Simple module categories over $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$ are in bijective correspondence with the following data:*

- *a subgroup $H \subseteq G$,*
- *a simple H-invariant $\mathcal{C}$ module category $\mathcal{M}$,*
- *a monoidal functor $\underline{H} \to Aut_{\mathcal{C}}^{H}(\mathcal{M})$.*

If the group G is finite then the module categories over $\mathcal{C}^G$ are in bijection with the same data.

Proof. By Theorem 1.5, if $\mathcal{N}$ is an simple $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$-module category, then it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C} \rtimes H} \mathcal{M}$ for some subgroup $H \subseteq G$ and a simple $\mathcal{C} \rtimes H$-module category $\mathcal{M}$, such that $\mathcal{M}$ is H-invariant. In particular it follows that the restriction of $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ is simple. Now the correspondence follows from Proposition 5.12.

If the group G is finite, then the correspondence follows from [28, Theorem 4.1] or [18, Proposition 3.2]. □

Suppose that G is a finite group and $H \cong A^\tau \#_\sigma kG$ is a bicrossed product with trivial coaction. Then the module categories over ${}_H\mathcal{M}$ are of the form $\mathcal{N}^G$, for some G-equivariant ${}_A\mathcal{M}$-module category $\mathcal{N}$. Moreover, the module category is simple if and only if $\mathcal{N}$ is simple.

Example 5.14. Let $N \geq 2$ be an integer and let $q \in \mathbb{C}$ be a primitive N-th root of unity. The *Taft algebra* $T(q)$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra presented by generators g and x with relations $g^N = 1$, $x^N = 0$ and $gx = qxg$. The algebra $T(q)$ carries a Hopf algebra structure, determined by

$$\Delta g = g \otimes g, \qquad \Delta x = x \otimes 1 + g \otimes x.$$

Then $\varepsilon(g) = 1$, $\varepsilon(x) = 0$, $\mathcal{S}(g) = g^{-1}$, and $\mathcal{S}(x) = -g^{-1}x$. It is known that

(1) $T(q)$ is a pointed non-semisimple Hopf algebra,
(2) the group of group-like elements of $T(q)$ is $G(T(q)) = \langle g\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/(N)$,
(3) $T(q) \simeq T(q)^*$,
(4) $T(q) \simeq T(q')$ if and only if $q = q'$.

Proposition 5.15. *Let G be a group, then the set of G-actions on the tensor category ${}^{T(q)}\mathcal{M}$ of $T(q)$-comodules is in 1-1 correspondence with the set of group homomorphism from G to $\mathbb{C}^* \ltimes \mathbb{C}$, where $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts on $\mathbb{C}$ by $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}, (s,t) \mapsto st$.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.7, the abelian group $\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathrm{id}_\mathcal{C})$ is trivial, and by [25, Theorem 5], $\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes({}^{T(q)}\mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{BiGal}(T(q)) \cong \mathbb{C}^* \rtimes \mathbb{C}$. Then by Theorem 5.5, the set of isomorphism classes of G-actions is given by the set of group homomorphism from G to $\mathbb{C}^* \ltimes \mathbb{C}$. □

If $G = \mathbb{Z}/(N)$ then, by Proposition 5.15 the possible G-actions are parameterized by pairs (r, μ), where r is a non-trivial N-th root of the unit and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$.

We shall denote by $A_{(\alpha,\gamma)}$ the $T(q)$-bigalois object associated to the pair $(r, \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^* \ltimes \mathbb{C} \cong \mathrm{BiGal}(T(q))$. See [25, Theorem 5].

The ${}^{T(q)}\mathcal{M}$-module categories of rank one are in correspondence with fiber functors on ${}^{T(q)}\mathcal{M}$, and these are in turn in 1-1 correspondence with $T(q)$-Galois objects. By Theorem 2 in *loc. cit.*, every $T(q)$-Galois object is isomorphic to $A_{(1,\beta)}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, and two $T(q)$-Galois objects $A_{(1,\beta)}$, $A_{(1,\mu)}$ are isomorphic if and only $\beta = \mu$.

By Theorem 5.13, if there is a semisimple module category of rank one over $\mathcal{C} = {}^{T(q)}\mathcal{M} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(N)$, it must be a ${}^{T(q)}\mathcal{M}$-module category $\mathbb{Z}/(N)$-invariant.

Suppose that $A_{(1,\beta)}$ is $\mathbb{Z}/(N)$-invariant. Since $A_{(r,\mu)} \square_{T(q)} A_{(1,\beta)} \cong A_{(r,\mu+\beta)}$, we have that $\mu = 0$. Then if the action is associated to a pair (r, μ) where $\mu \neq 0$, the category $\mathcal{C}$ does not admit any fiber functor, *i.e.*, it is not the category of comodules of a Hopf algebra.

However, since every simple object is invertible, the Perron-Frobenius dimension of the simple objects is one. So, by [11, Proposition 2.7], the tensor category ${}^{T(q)}\mathcal{M} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(N)$ is equivalent to the category of representations of a quasi-Hopf algebra.

Note that the tensor category $({}^{T(q)}\mathcal{M})^G$ has at least one fiber functor, for every group and every group action. In fact, since the forgetful functor $U : {}^{T(q)}\mathcal{M}^G \to {}^{T(q)}\mathcal{M}$ is monoidal, then the composition with the fiber functor of ${}^{T(q)}\mathcal{M}$ gives a fiber functor on $({}^{T(q)}\mathcal{M})^G$.

Acknowledgement. The author thanks Pavel Etingof, Gastón García, Martín Mombelli, Sonia Natale, and Dmitri Nikshych for useful discussions and advice.

References

[1] BibliographyN. Andruskiewitsch and J. Devoto, ‘Extensions of Hopf algebras’, *St. Petersbg. Math. J.* **7** (1996), 17-52.

[2] BibliographyN. Andruskiewitsch and J.M. Mombelli, ‘On module categories over finite-dimensional Hopf algebras’, *J. Algebra* **314** (2007), 383–418.

[3] BibliographyS. Arkhipov and D. Gaitsgory, ‘Another realization of the category of modules over the small quantum group’, *Adv. Math.* **173** (2003), 114–143.

[4] BibliographyJ. Baez and A. D. Lauda, ‘Higher-dimensional algebra V: 2-groups’, *Theor. and Appl. Cat.* **12** No. 14 (2004), 423–491.

[5] BibliographyB. Bakalov and A. Kirrilov Jr., ‘Lectures on Tensor categories and modular functors’, AMS, (2001).

[6] BibliographyJ. Bichon, 'Galois and biGalois objects over monomial non-semisimple Hopf Algebras', *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **5** (2006), 653–480.

[7] BibliographyE. C. Dade, 'Compounding Clifford's theory', *Ann. Math.*, **91** (1970), 236–290.

[8] BibliographyA. Davydov, 'Twisted automorphisms of group algebras' *Preprint arXiv:0708.2758.*

[9] BibliographyP. Deligne, 'Catégories tannakiennes, in : *The Grothendieck Festschrift*', Vol. II, Progr. Math., **87**, Birkhuser, Boston, MA, (1990), 111-195.

[10] BibliographyP. Etingof and V. Ostrik, 'Module categories over representations of $SL_q(2)$ and graphs', *Math. Res. Lett.* **11** (2004), 103–114.

[11] BibliographyP. Etingof and V. Ostrik, 'Finite tensor categories', *Mosc. Math. J.* **4** (2004), 627–654, 782–783.

[12] BibliographyP. Etingof, D. Nikshych and V. Ostrik, 'On fusion categories', *Ann. Math.* **162** (2005), 581–642.

[13] BibliographyP. Etingof, D. Nikshych and V. Ostrik, 'Weakly group-theoretical and solvable fusion categories', *Preprint arXiv:0809.3031.*

[14] BibliographyE. Frenkel and E. Witten, 'Geometric endoscopy and mirror symmetry', *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* 2, No. 1,(2008), 113–283

[15] BibliographyS. Gelaki and D. Nikshych, 'Nilpotent fusion categories', *Adv. Math.*, **217** (2008), 1053-1071.

[16] BibliographyS. Mac Lane, 'Categories for the Working Mathematician', 2nd edition. *Springer Verlarg* (1997).

[17] BibliographyS. Natale, 'Hopf algebra extensions of group algebras and Tambara-Yamagami categories' *Preprint arXiv:0805.3172v1*, (2008).

[18] BibliographyD. Nikshych, 'Non group-theoretical semisimple Hopf algebras from group actions on fusion categories', *Sel. math., New ser.* (2008) 145–161.

[19] BibliographyV. Ostrik, 'Module categories, weak Hopf algebras and modular invariants', *Transform. Groups*, **8** (2003), 177-206.

[20] BibliographyV. Ostrik, 'Module categories over the Drinfeld double of a finite group', *Int. Math. Res. Not.* no. 27, (2003), 1507-1520.

[21] BibliographyV. Ostrik, 'Module categories over representations of $SL_q(2)$ in the non-semisimple case', *Geom. Funct. Anal.* (2008) 17, No. 6, 2005–2017.

[22] BibliographyN. Saavedra Rivano, 'Catégories Tannakiennes', *Lecture notes in mathematics* **265**. Springer Verlag, 1972.

[23] BibliographyP. Schauenburg, 'Hopf bi-Galois extensions', *Comm. Algebra* **24** (1996), 3797–3825.

[24] BibliographyP. Schauenburg, 'Tannaka duality for arbitrary Hopf algebras', *Algebra Berichte* **66**, Verlag Reinhard Fischer, Mnchen (1992).

[25] BibliographyP. Schauenburg, 'Bigalois objects over the Taft algebras', *Israel J. Math.* **115** (2000), 101–123.

[26] BibliographyH.-J. Schneider, 'Principal Homogeneus spaces for arbitrary Hopf algebras', *Israel J. of Math.* **72** (1990), 167–195.

[27] BibliographyD. Tambara, 'A Duality for Modules over Monoidal Categories of Representations of Semisimple Hopf Algebras', *J. Algebra* **296** (2006), 301–322.

[28] BibliographyD. Tambara, 'Invariants and semi-direct products for finite group actions on tensor categories', *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **53** (2001), 429–456.

[29] BibliographyD. Tambara and S. Yamagami, 'Tensor categories with fusion rules of self-duality for finite abelian groups', *J. Algebra* **209** (1998), 692–707.

[30] BibliographyUlbrich, K-H, 'Fiber functor of finite dimensional comodules', *Manuscripta Math.* **65** (1989), 39–46.

[31] BibliographyCharles A. Wibel, 'An introduction to homological algebra', *Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics* **38**, (1994).

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS
PONTIFICIA UNIVERSIDAD JAVERIANA
BOGOTÁ, COLOMBIA.
E-mail address: `cesarneyit@gmail.com, cesar.galindo@gmail.com`